

# TDM Experiment of Railway and a Shopping Centre using Smart Card System

Yasuo Asakura, Takamasa Iryo, Yoshiki Nakajima, Kaoruko Sugita, Sei-ichi Kitano, Kobe University JAPAN

Railway Smart Card Systems in Japan

- ICOCA of JR (Japan Railway) WEST
- SUICA of JR EAST
- PiTaPa (<u>Postpay I</u>C for <u>Touch and Pay</u>) system of Private Railway Consortium in Kansai Area



#### Possibility of Smart Card Data (1)



#### Frequency of Smart Card Use



Period : June 2007 , Index : Averaged Frequency of Smart Card Use in a Day (trip/day) Number of Samples : 198,240

### Possibility of Smart Card Data (2)



# Background

- A downtown shopping centre usually provides free car parks for customers who come by automobiles.
- But rarely makes reimbursements for those who use public transport. This is because the verification of boarding on public transport is not easy without any complex procedures.
- A smart card system makes possible to verify the customer's boarding on public transport.
- This could be incorporated into the TDM (Travel Demand Management) scheme of public transport collaborating with shopping activities as one body.

# Objectives

- This study aims to propose a collaborative TDM scheme in which a shopping centre provides incentives for customers who intend to use railways.
- A field experiment was carried out in collaboration with a railway company and a shopping centre.
- This study also aims to show the travel behaviour of customers who joined the experiment.
- In addition to the questionnaire survey, the railway smart card data of customers are analyzed to know their longitudinal railway use.



# Field Experiment

- Field experiment was held at a shopping centre located 5 minutes walk from a railway station.
- The floor space is more than 34,000 m<sup>2</sup>.
- The averaged number of customers is about 22,000 persons per day.
- Capacity of car park is about 3,000 vehicles.
- You will have a 2 hours free car park ticket when you buy more than 2,000 yen.



#### Preliminary Survey (# of samples 500)



- Shares of railway and automobile were 16% and 49%.
- Smart card holders were 30% of all customers.
- Targeted customers were the smart card holders who actually came by railway. This was just 4%.
- 37% of automobile users has a smart card.
- There are a large number of potential customers who could come by railway.

# Design of TDM Experiment

Publicity Work

(Posters, WEB information)



- A 500 yen free coupon was given to the customer who spent more than 2,000 yen.
- The incentive was provided by the shopping centre.
- The railway company supported the publicity work.

# **Smart Card Information**

- A customer was required to show his/her ID information of the smart card for validating the railway use.
- The record information involves the date and time of railway use, the names of the on and off board stations.
- The behavioural data were also collected with questionnaire survey.
- The allowance of analyzing the historical personal record of railway usage was also confirmed. All these data were aggregated and anonymously analyzed.

### Assumption on Behavioural Change



Participants were asked the alternative activities, destinations and travel modes if the field experiment was not held.

# Actual Behavioural Change



#### Alternative Behaviour

Visit here as usual	127	66 %	No behavioural change
Visit here, but other travel mode	27	14 %	Travel mode change
Visit other shopping centre	13	7 %	Destination change
Visit other places, but not for shopping	7	4 %	Activity change (trip purpose change)
Stay at home	12	6 %	Activity change (new trip generation)
Others or unknown	4	2 %	

### New Customers and Railway Users



New customers to the shopping centre = Generation (12) + Purpose (7) + Destination (13) = 32 32/190=17%New railway users = Generation (12) + Mode (27) = 39

39/190=20%

#### Expected Frequency of Shopping Trips in the Future



- More than 60% answered that the frequency would increase.
- The percentage of 'increase' for the group who changed their behaviour was greater than that of the group without behavioural change.
- Once a participant has actually changed his/her behaviour, he/she will make a positive answer for the future expectation.

#### Expected Minimum Amount of Incentive for the Future Experiment



- Those who did not change their behaviour would join the experiment only if positive incentive was provided. However, 60% of them expected the same incentives. Those who actually changed their behaviour might have paid some monetary costs.
- They answered more seriously on the amount of incentives.
- Large monetary costs may be required when the same experiment is continuously held in the future.



Frequency distribution of number of smart card usage (# of trips) per day. Any differences? any changes?



#### Figure 5.a Number of Trips per Day (Weekday, Before Experiment)



Figure 5.b Number of Trips per Day (Weekend, Before Experiment)



Figure 5.d Number of Trips per Day (Weekend, After Experiment)

# **Comparison of Average Values**



- Number of trips became smaller due to seasonal effect.
- Those who changed behaviour were the less frequent users in weekend but frequent users in weekday.
- Those who *did not* change behaviour were the less frequent users in weekday but frequent users in weekend.

# Implication

- Those who used the railway frequently in weekday might have higher chance to get the information of the experiment, and changed travel behaviour to participate the experiment.
- The publicity to heavy users of railway may be effective to encourage them to generate shopping/railway trips in weekend.
- However, such behaviour was unusual for them, and might not continue after the experiment unless attractive incentives were provided.
- Those who used railway frequently in weekend were not necessary to change their behaviour to participate the experiment.
- On the other hand, they showed the higher frequency of the smart card usage after the experiment, and this might be the effect of the experiment.





- The collaborative scheme of a railway and a shopping centre was proposed as a TDM policy of promoting shopping areas.
- A smart card system for public transport and shopping activities must be essential for this collaborative scheme.
- Towards sustainable systems, design strategies of incentives and rules are more important as they should be not only attractive for consumers but also affordable for suppliers.
- A large amount of smart card data could be useful for analyzing the longitudinal behaviour of customers and developing TDM policies in the future.