# Fluvial terrace gravels of the "Hochterrasse" (N-Alpine Foreland, Austria): luminescence characteristics of quartz and feldspar

# **Overview and motivation**

In the early 20th century Penck & Brückner developed the concept of **four big alpine** glaciations based on the succession of four gravel terraces in distinct morphostratigraphic positions and their connections to terminal moraines. From  $\delta^{18}$ O analyses of deep sea sediments it is known that many more than four glacial



periods have occured during the last 2.6 million years. It has proven to be problematic to fit the discontinuous record of alpine glacial and glaciofluvial sediments to the continuous  $\delta^{18}O$  records for several reasons:

- destruction of evidence of older glacial and interglacial periods
- a priori assumption of direct correlation between global ice volume and alpine glaciations
- non-climatic influences (e.g. tectonic uplift) can strongly influence fluvial dynamics, making significance of glaciofluvial terraces questionable in some cases

It is therefore essential to establish an accurate **numerical chronology** to properly assess this sedimentary legacy. Here we present the first results of feldspar and quartz luminescence properties from proglacial terrace bodies of three valleys of the Austrian northern Alpine Foreland (Traun/Enns/Ybbs valley).



Fig. 1: Panoramic overview of the gravel pit Sierninghofen (junction of Steyr and Enns valley) which is situated in the "Hochterrasse". The samples of this gravel pit were derived out of a sand lens situated 7.5 m below ground level.

# **Materials and methods**

Quartz K-Feldspar 100-200 µm Preheat Test **Preheat Test** Dose Recovery Dose Recovery **Preheat Plateau Test** (Preheat Plateau) Test Linearly modulated Thermal Transfer Test OSL SAR-IRSL 50 **SAR-OSL 125** Fading Test Check for Fs **pIRIR 225** contamination

The samples were derived from sand lenses/beds within the gravel body (Fig.1) of the "Hochterrasse".

The samples were prepared using conventional methods. Various measurements were applied to quartz (blue diode stimulation) and feldspar (IR diode stimulation) coarse grain samples (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2: Applied tests and measurements for guartz and felspar analysis D<sub>a</sub> measurements are displayed in bold typeface.

<sup>1</sup>University for Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU), Peter-Jordanstraße 70, 1190 Vienna, Austria; lukas.bickel@boku.ac.at <sup>2</sup>Justus-Liebig University, Gießen, Germany

# Lukas Bickel<sup>1</sup> , Johanna Lomax<sup>2</sup>, Markus Fiebig<sup>1</sup>

### Feldspar



- impact of **thermal transfer** at 250°C preheat max. 1% of paleodose
- post-IR IRSL 225 residual doses of up to 11±2 Gy (max 4% of total De)
- Ybbs valley samples show low signal intensities (MAU)
- preheat tests and dose Recovery Tests lead to a preheat temperature of 250°C for feldspar samples
- ubiquitous measurable fading rates for **SAR50** protocol (g-values up to 4%)
- negligible fading for the pIRIR225 protocol

# Quartz: a problematic case?







- 220°C preheat and 200°C
- influence of **slower** components! Covering layer (loess) shows FC
- due to Fs contamination?

# **D** distribution

- rate of (1mm) aliquots (see fig. *IRSL decay curves*)
- the samples from Traun and Enns valley



• negligible effect of thermal transfer at preheat temperatures of 250°C • pIRIR250 age calculation needs to take **residual doses** into account SAR50 fading rates of up to 4% (pIRIR225 0.5%) • Ybbs valley samples yield very low signal intensities • slower components make up a significant proportion of the initial signal • weak IR stimulated signals can be attributed to feldspar contamination but it remains to be checked through **mineralogical investigations**  generally low signal intensities and high rejection rate FШF

Der Wissenschaftsfonds.



# • "dark" luminescence signals of the Ybbs valley samples lead to high rejection • same applies to quartz aliquots in general (1 and 2 mm aliquots) • high scatter of paleodose values of the Ybbstal samples in comparison with





**University of Natural Resources** and Life Sciences, Vienna Department of Structural Engineering and Natural Hazards